



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/052,214	01/16/2002	Grant C. Record	319098003US2	2941		
25096	7590 06/11/2003					
PERKINS CO	DIE LLP	EXAMINER				
PATENT-SEA		HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE				
P.O. BOX 124						
SEATTLE, W.	98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3635			
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HN

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/052,214

Applicant(s)

GRANT C. RECORD

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit 3635



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	e cover	· shee:	t with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no even	it, howeve	er, may	a reply t	se timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will o he applic	expire SIX cation to b	X (6) MC become	ONTHS fi	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 31, 2	<u>2003 </u>				·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is	non-fi	nal.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>					is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1, 10, 13, 19, and 25</u>					is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2-9, 11, 12, 14-18, 20-24, and 26-28					is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims			are si	ubject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers	٠						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	; a) 🗌	acce	pted (or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawin	ıg(s) be	held	in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		***************************************	is: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this	s Office	actio	n.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority	under under	35 U	J.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	/e bee	n rece	ived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure.	eau (Po	CT Rule	e 17.:	2(a)).	-		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e cert	tified c	opies	not re	eceived.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ity und	er 35	U.S.(C. § 119(e).		
a) [
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ity und	er 35	U.S.(C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		—	1	_				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		_			D-413) Paper No(s).		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)] Notice of Other:	i intorna	al Patent	t Application (PTO-152)		
31 🗀 1111	offilation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1443) Paper No(s).	0)	, Other:					

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1,10,13,19 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 6,418,686 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,214 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as

follows:

A load bearing panel including front and back faces/skins having shear resistance

connectors formed therein, and a core member positioned between the front and back faces/skins

such that the panel has a strength-to-weight ratio equal to or greater than 33 to 1.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-9,11,12,14-18,20,24 and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M. Horton

June 10, 2003